

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA  
 SOUTHERN DIVISION**


<b>STATE OF ALABAMA, et al.,</b>	}	
	}	
<b>Plaintiffs,</b>	}	
	}	
<b>v.</b>	}	<b>Case No.: 2:18-CV-772-RDP</b>
	}	
<b>UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF      COMMERCE, et al.,</b>	}	
	}	
<b>Defendants.</b>	}	

**ORDER**

This case is before the court on the parties’ Status Report Regarding Proposed Further Briefing Schedule. [69]. In accordance with the agreement of the parties, the court **ORDERS** as follows:

1. The Martinez Intervenor-Defendants **MAY** file a reply supplemental brief by its current due date of **February 1, 2019**;
2. Defendants **SHALL** file a consolidated reply in support of their Motion to Dismiss by its current due date of **February 25, 2019**. That reply brief, which may not exceed 20 pages, may also address the topics identified in all of the supplemental briefs in this matter, whether filed by Intervenor-Defendants or Plaintiffs; and
3. In the event that Plaintiffs identify new issues that Defendants raise in their consolidated reply, the court will entertain a motion from Plaintiffs for leave to file a sur-reply.

**DONE** and **ORDERED** this February 1, 2019.

  
**R. DAVID PROCTOR**  
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

